discipline or sub-discipline did the research make? What is the further research that should be designed and carried out? (cf. C. Boswell, S. Cannon, 2011, p. 296).

**Conclusions**

Methodological scientific criticism is aimed at eliminating errors in the procedure of designing research, makes it easier to construct reliable research tools, carry out research properly, carry out right quantitative and qualitative analyses of research results, and then to draw conclusions and formulate recommendations for putting them into practice. Criticism reveals both strengths and weaknesses of a research project, indicates specific activities for improving the quality of research, broadens the knowledge about an occurrence and demonstrates the need to explore the next aspects of an occurrence or process (B.L. Rodger, 1997).

The process of methodological scientific criticism leads to an intense verification of each stage of a research process. Criticism of a research process is necessary both during the process of designing research, and during the process of collecting data, verifying and interpreting them because different epistemological and methodological traps await the researcher.
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